RESEARCH



MRI-based inter- and intrafraction motion analysis of the pancreatic tail and spleen as preparation for adaptive MRI-guided radiotherapy in neuroblastoma

Fasco Van Ommen^{1*}, Gaelle A.T. le Quellenec^{1,2}, Mirjam E. Willemsen-Bosman¹, Max M. van Noesel^{1,3}, Marry M. van den Heuvel-Eibrink³, Enrica Seravalli¹, Petra S. Kroon¹ and Geert O. Janssens^{1,3}

Abstract

Background In pediatric radiotherapy treatment planning of abdominal tumors, dose constraints to the pancreatic tail/spleen are applied to reduce late toxicity. In this study, an analysis of inter- and intrafraction motion of the pancreatic tail/spleen is performed to estimate the potential benefits of online MRI-guided radiotherapy (MRgRT).

Materials and methods Ten randomly selected neuroblastoma patients (median age: 3.4 years), irradiated with intensity-modulated arc therapy at our department (prescription dose: 21.6/1.8 Gy), were retrospectively evaluated for inter- and intrafraction motion of the pancreatic tail/spleen. Three follow-up MRIs (T2- and T1-weighted ± gadolinium) were rigidly registered to a planning CT (pCT), on the vertebrae around the target volume. The pancreatic tail/spleen were delineated on all MRIs and pCT. Interfraction motion was defined as a center of gravity change between pCT and T2-weighted images in left-right (LR), anterior-posterior (AP) and cranial-caudal (CC) direction. For intrafraction motion analysis, organ position on T1-weighted ± gadolinium was compared to T2-weighted. The clinical radiation plan was used to estimate the dose received by the pancreatic tail/spleen for each position.

Results The median (IQR) interfraction motion was minimal in LR/AP, and largest in CC direction; pancreatic tail 2.5 mm (8.9), and spleen 0.9 mm (3.9). Intrafraction motion was smaller, but showed a similar motion pattern (pancreatic tail, CC: 0.4 mm (1.6); spleen, CC: 0.9 mm (2.8)). The differences of Dmean associated with inter- and intrafraction motions ranged from -3.5 to 5.8 Gy for the pancreatic tail and -1.2 to 3.0 Gy for the spleen. In 6 out of 10 patients, movements of the pancreatic tail and spleen were highlighted as potentially clinically significant because of \geq 1 Gy dose constraint violation.

Conclusion Inter- and intrafraction organ motion results into unexpected constrain violations in 60% of a randomly selected neuroblastoma cohort, supporting further prospective exploration of MRgRT.

Keywords Neuroblastoma, Pediatric radiotherapy, Interfraction motion, Intrafraction motion, MRI-guided radiotherapy

*Correspondence: Fasco Van Ommen f.vanommen@umcutrecht.nl ¹Department of Radiation Oncology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, Utrecht 3584 CX, The Netherlands

²Department of Radiation Oncology, Institut de Cancérologie de l'Ouest, Nantes, France

³Princess Máxima Center for Pediatric Oncology, Utrecht, The Netherlands



© The Author(s) 2023. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Most pediatric patients undergoing radiotherapy to the upper abdominal region are diagnosed with a neuroblastoma or a renal tumor. Survivors are at risk of long-term toxicity with radiotherapy being a major determinant [1-8]. A number of late effects like vertebral growth impairment or vascular damage are inherent to the location of the target volume and therefore unavoidable by modern radiotherapy [9, 10]. Meanwhile, radiotherapy doses to a number of organs at risk (OARs) like the pancreas, spleen or intestines can be significantly reduced using state-of-the art approaches [5, 6, 8, 11-15]. The risk of diabetes due to radiotherapy on the pancreatic tail has a linear dose-response relationship with a threshold around 10 Gy. The cumulative incidence for diabetes by age 45 due to radiation on the pancreas is 4.3% for 1.0-9.9 Gy, 12.7% for 10.0-19.9 Gy and 25.7% for 20.0-29.9 Gy [11, 13]. For the spleen, a more binary threshold is observed. Nowadays, patients receiving a mean spleen dose above 10 Gy are recommended for antibiotic prophylaxis and vaccination to reduce the risk of late infection-related mortality [14]. The cumulative incidence of infection-related late mortality at 35 years after splenic radiation is 0.4% for 0.1-9.9 Gy, 1.1% for 10.0-19.9 Gy and 1.3% for 20.0-29.9 Gy [6].

Long-term toxicity of OARs prone to motion in between or during radiotherapy sessions may be reduced by exploiting the benefits of an online adaptive radiotherapy workflow. The latter utilizes daily imaging not only to correct for patient positioning, but also for daily anatomical changes. The new anatomy is used to re-optimize the treatment plan before every fraction [16]. A potential candidate for an online adaptive radiotherapy workflow is magnetic resonance guided radiotherapy (MRgRT) [17, 18]. For target volumes located in the upper abdominal region, MRI has superior soft-tissue contrast over CBCTscans and allows visualization of the daily anatomy. An additional major benefit of MRgRT is the possibility for beam-on imaging. During dose delivery MR images can be acquired, which allows tumor and OAR motion monitoring. These images could be used for gating or potentially tumor tracking [19].

The potential role of MRgRT in pediatric radiotherapy has been identified based on a survey among (future) users [20] and has demonstrated dosimetric benefit in a plan comparison study for pediatric renal tumors [21]. For pediatric patients with a neuroblastoma or a renal tumor, the abilities of MRgRT to re-optimize a treatment plan to the daily anatomy may reduce radiation dose to the pancreatic tail and spleen, which might be beneficial for the long-term burden.

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the inter- and intrafraction motion of the pancreatic tail and spleen and to estimate the dose deviations from the original treatment plan due to these positional changes, including the number of dose constraint violations.

Materials and methods

Patient population

A total of ten randomly selected pediatric patients with a neuroblastoma originating from the upper abdomen and treated, between May 2019 and September 2021 within the department of radiation oncology Utrecht, were included for this analysis. Candidate patients had a 4DCT- and MRI-scan in radiotherapy position, as well as three additional MRI exams performed within 12 months post-radiotherapy. For all MRI exams T2- and T1-weighted sequences with and without intravenous gadolinium were required. If MRI-artefacts were visible in any of the scans, the entire MRI exam was omitted. The retrospective analysis was approved by the local ethics committee (Institutional Review Board approval number: WAG/mb/500,028).

Imaging data

For each patient, a pre-treatment 4DCT-scan (pCT; average CT of ten phases, helical acquisition and reconstructed to 2 mm slice thickness) was acquired for treatment planning as well as an MRI-scan (T1/T2-weighted with 3 mm slice thickness). The latter was used for delineation purposes only. The contours of the pancreatic tail and spleen on the pCT were considered as the reference position.

Post-radiotherapy, MRI-scans are repeated before onset, during and after immunotherapy to monitor tumor response or early disease progression according to institutional protocol. For all ten patients, free-breathing T2 and T1 sequences with/without gadolinium acquired during the three MRI exams were co-registered to the vertebrae on the pCT at the level of the primary tumor, pancreas and spleen using rigid registration. The registration used a mutual information method. Following registration, the pancreatic tail and spleen were delineated on all nine MRI sequences by an experienced radiation oncologist [GLQ, supervised by GJ] (Fig. 1).

Motion

To assess the contribution of respiratory motion on the pCT-scan, the 4DCT was evaluated using the time-averaged mid-position algorithm [22]. The mid-position CT is calculated from the 4DCT, but also provides information about the amplitude of respiratory motion. The position of the pancreatic tail and spleen was defined by the center of gravity (COG). Motion was evaluated in three directions (cranial-caudal (CC), left-right (LR) and anterior-posterior (AP)). Intrafraction motion was evaluated by comparing the position of the pancreatic tail and spleen of the second and last sequence to the first



Fig. 1 Example of registered T2, T1 and T1 with gadolinium (T1-gd) to the planning CT (pCT) with the pancreatic tail (orange) and spleen (blue) delineated. Interfraction motion is defined as positional changes between pCT and T2, whereas intrafraction motion is defined as positional changes between T2 and T1/T1-gd

Table 1 Patient, tumor and treatment characteristics

	Number
Patient characteristics	
Gender	
Male	6
Female	4
Age at diagnosis (years)	
Median	3.4
Range	1.1-8.6
Tumor characteristics	
Туре	
Neuroblastoma	10
Primary tumor location	
Adrenal gland	9
Paravertebral	1
Side	
Left	6
Right	4
Treatment characteristics	
Prescribed dose	
21.6/1.8 Gy	10
Anesthesia	
Yes	6
No	4

acquired during the same MRI exam (60 measurements per organ: 10 patients x 3 MRI exams x 2 sequences). Interfraction motion of the pancreatic tail and spleen was evaluated by comparing the position of these organs on the T2-weighted MRI of each MRI exam to the position on the reference (30 measurements per organ: 10 patients x 3 MRI exams).

Analysis

The respiratory, inter- and intrafraction motions were visualized using boxplots. To estimate the radiotherapy dose to the pancreatic tail and spleen delineated on the MRI exams, the dose distribution used for clinical treatment was overlaid and the mean dose to the volumes defined on MRI was determined. In daily practice at our department, a mean dose constraint of 10 Gy is applied for the pancreatic tail and spleen, respectively corresponding to an increased risk of diabetes mellitus and late infection-related mortality [6, 11, 14, 23]. Fulfillment of the dose constraints of the pancreatic tail or spleen was considered to be of 'potential clinical relevance' and therefore of potential benefit of daily replanning and gating. If the dose on the pCT was below the mean dose constraint but exceeded on the MRI, it was highlighted.

Statistical analysis

The analysis was descriptive in which the range of the respiratory, intra- and interfraction motion and the dose differences were reported.

Results

Patient, tumor, and treatment characteristics

Patient, tumor and treatment characteristics are demonstrated in Table 1. Six patients were treated for a leftsided neuroblastoma. The median age at treatment was 3.4 years old (range: 1.1–8.6). Six out of ten patients were under sedation (anesthesia) during treatment preparation and treatment. All patients were sedated during follow-up MRI.

Respiratory motion

The respiratory motion of the pancreatic tail and spleen is predominantly in the CC direction (Fig. 2). The



Fig. 2 Amplitude of respiratory motion (mm) for the pancreatic tail and spleen, calculated on the 4DCT, is shown as a function of the three directions left-right (LR), anterior-posterior (AP) and cranial-caudal (CC)

amplitude of this motion is larger for the pancreatic tail (median amplitude: 1.8 mm) compared to the spleen (median amplitude: 1.4 mm), whereas the motion in LR and AP directions is similar for both organs.

Intrafraction motion

For the pancreatic tail, the intrafraction motion ranged from -4.3 to 4.9 mm for LR, -8.4 to 6.8 mm for AP and -5.3 to 8.8 mm for CC (Fig. 3). For the spleen, LR ranged

from -4.2 to 5.0 mm, AP from -6.8 to 4.8 mm and CC from -8.0 to 6.5 mm.

The dose differences for both pancreatic tail and spleen between pCT and MRI-exams (60 measurements per organ) are minimal but show some large outliers (Fig. 3). These outliers are predominantly observed in patients with left-sided tumors. In addition, the dose differences are smaller for the spleen compared to the pancreatic tail and have less excessive outliers (range – 3.5 to 4.9 Gy for the pancreatic tail; -0.6 to 2.3 Gy for the spleen). For the pancreatic tail and spleen, respectively 12 and 24 out of 60 intrafraction movements, all observed in 6 patients, were highlighted as potentially clinically significant because of a dose constraint violation (Table 2).

Interfraction motion

The interfraction motion of both the pancreatic tail and spleen shows a pattern similar to the intrafraction motion (Fig. 4). The median LR motion is smallest (range pancreatic tail: -10.6 to 5.3 mm and range spleen: -5.2 to 2.0 mm) followed by the AP (range -6.0 to 5.4 mm for the pancreas tail and range -6.5 to 6.9 mm for the spleen) and CC (pancreas tail ranged from -14.0 to 13.2 mm and the spleen ranged from -14.9 to 10.4 mm) motion.

After co-registration of the MRI to the vertebrae on the pCT, a large range in dose difference between pCT and MRI for the pancreatic tail (-3.2 to 5.8 Gy) is observed. For the spleen this range was found to be smaller (-1.2 to 3.0 Gy). Interfraction motion has little or no impact on the dose to the pancreatic tail and spleen in right-sided tumors. For the pancreatic tail and spleen, respectively 6 and 9 out of 30 fractions, all observed in 5 patients, resulted in a potentially clinically significant dose constraint violation (Table 2).



Fig. 3 Intrafraction motion (mm) of the pancreatic tail (Pancreas) and spleen for ten patients having six intrafraction movements. Motion is shown in three directions (left-right (LR), anterior-posterior (AP) and cranial-caudal (CC)). The dose difference (Gy) between the contours delineated on the pCT and the different MRI-scans are shown on the right

Table 2	2 Dose constraint	violations of the	pancreatic tai	il and spleen fo	r intra-	and interfractior	n motion,	including	he nur	mber of
unexpe	ected mean dose v	violations above 1	I0 Gy							

			рСТ	Interfraction	motion		Intrafraction motion		
Patient	Side	OAR	D _{mean} (Gy)	D _{mean} range (Gy)	Fractions D _{mean} < 10 Gy (N)	Unexpected dose violation (N)	D _{mean} range (Gy)	Fractions D _{mean} < 10 Gy (N)	Unexpect- ed dose violation (N)
1	Right	Pancreas tail	6.3	6.3–6.7	3/3		6.4–7.1	6/6	
		Spleen	2.3	2.3-2.5	3/3		2.5-2.9	6/6	
2	Left	Pancreas tail	21.0	21.0-21.2	0/3		21.0-21.3	0/6	
		Spleen	7.5	6.7-10.1	2/3	1/3	6.7-11.3	3/6	3/6
3	Left	Pancreas tail	6.9	5.7-10.4	2/3	1/3	7.0-11.4	4/6	2/6
		Spleen	7.5	7.9–8.8	3/3		7.6–9.2	6/6	
4	Right	Pancreas tail	3.8	0.6-6.2	3/3		0.5-5.9	6/6	
		Spleen	0.2	0.1-0.5	3/3		0.2–0.5	6/6	
5	Left	Pancreas tail	13.8	12.9-13.1	0/3		12.9-15.0	0/6	
		Spleen	7.0	6.6–7.8	3/3		7.0–7.9	6/6	
6	Left	Pancreas tail	20.8	20.5-20.9	0/3		18.9–21.3	0/6	
		Spleen	8.7	9.8-10.5	2/3	1/3	9.7–11.1	2/6	4/6
7	Right	Pancreas tail	9.4	9.4–11.7	2/3	1/3	9.4–14.3	2/6	4/6
		Spleen	2.6	2.7-3.0	3/3		2.6-3.0	6/6	
8	Left	Pancreas tail	11.8	15.7–17.6	0/3		12.3-17.7	0/6	
		Spleen	9.3	8.4-8.7	3/3		9.3–10.7	3/6	3/6
9	Right	Pancreas tail	3.4	2.2-4.9	3/3		2.1-5.2	6/6	
		Spleen	3.0	2.9–4.3	3/3		2.9-4.5	6/6	
10	Left	Pancreas tail	20.9	19.9–21.0	0/3		19.9–21.2	0/6	
		Spleen	9.8	9.7-10.6	1/3	2/3	9.5-10.4	1/6	5/6



Fig. 4 Interfraction motion (mm) of the pancreatic tail (Pancreas) and spleen for ten patients having three interfraction movements. Motion is shown in three directions (left-right (LR), anterior-posterior (AP) and cranial-caudal (CC)). The dose difference (Gy) between the contours delineated on pCT and the different MRI-exams are shown on the right

Discussion

In this cohort of pediatric patients with a primary neuroblastoma in the upper abdominal region, the respiratory, intra- and interfraction motion of the pancreatic tail and spleen was assessed. In addition, dose differences on the pancreatic tail and spleen due to these movements have been presented, using upfront dose overlay. The impact of motion on dose distribution for the pancreatic tail and spleen was obvious for left-sided target volumes and only minimal for right-sided targets. In six out of ten patients at least one of the dose constraints was exceeded unexpectedly due to inter- or intrafraction motion.

In general, interfraction motion (due to day-to-day differences, such as differences in bowel or bladder filling) is largest followed by the intrafraction motion (due to drift, respiration or sudden movements), which is in accordance with prior CT/CBCT based retrospective analyses [24–26]. To our knowledge, there has not been a study investigating the motion of the pancreatic tail in pediatric patients. The larger interfraction motion compared to intrafraction motion suggests that interfraction motion is not a snapshot of positional changes due to breathing, but also other anatomical changes, for which daily replanning would be a useful tool.

The wide range of doses to the pancreatic tail and spleen observed when taking into account interfraction motion demonstrates that a single capture of the anatomy, as routinely used, can over- or underestimate the actual dose. The latter incorrectly informs patients about their increased risk of diabetes or lifetime need for antibiotics and vaccination when the organ constraints are violated [13, 14]. In addition, the possibility of anticipating on the daily anatomy changes with daily replanning can even have a larger dosimetric benefit for both organs. The dose differences due to intrafraction motion are generally smaller than those due to interfraction motion, but still in cases where a drift of an organ is visible, motion management during treatment available with MRgRT can reduce dosage to OARs. Nevertheless, the real benefit due to replanning and/or motion management during the whole course of radiotherapy needs to be evaluated extensively in a prospective way. For now, the largest clinical benefit of MRgRT is hypothesized for patients which have a minimal exceedance of the dose constraint on the pancreatic tail and/or spleen, which by replanning alone could be overcome and reduced to a mean radiation dose level below 10 Gy.

In this study, we mainly focused on the use of MRI to visualize the daily anatomy, whereas the same could be achieved using high quality CBCT imaging, as already shown in adults [27, 28]. However, the small amount of intra-abdominal fatty tissue in young pediatric patients limits optimal visualization of the pancreatic tail and other abdominal organs. Hence, the unique value of soft-tissue contrast on MRI compared to CBCT image quality is the main rationale to investigate/explore the role of MRgRT for an online adaptive radiotherapy approach in pediatric patients with neuroblastoma and renal tumors.

In recent years, other alternatives for treating neuroblastoma have been proposed [29, 30]. Especially, proton beam therapy has been increasingly recommended for pediatric patients with neuroblastoma, even though this irradiation type can suffer dosimetric degradation from gastrointestinal air and tumor location and still requires large cohort studies to prove oncological benefit compared to state-of-the art photon therapy [31, 32]. Secondly, image guidance for proton therapy does not allow online dose recalculation and so limiting the technique to a static image in which inter- and intrafraction motion of the pancreatic tail and spleen is not compensated. The potential benefit of proton therapy mainly concerns the possibility to reduce a low-dose bath to the surrounding tissues to reduce the risk of secondary tumors. However, recent publications demonstrate the very low risk of second malignant neoplasm after abdominal irradiation for neuroblastoma or Wilms tumors, and with most neoplasms either unrelated to the radiotherapy beams, or in the high-dose area and therefore unavoidable by technique [8, 33, 34].

This analysis has a number of limitations. First, the post radiotherapy MRI-scans are not in treatment position, which makes a rigid registration between pCT and MRI more challenging. However, the inter- and intrafraction measured motion of the spleen, is in accordance with literature on CBCT's and CT's where patients were positioned in radiation position [24, 25]. This makes us believe that the chosen approach is appropriate for this analysis. Secondly, the follow-up MRIs are acquired within 10 months after finishing treatment, meaning that growth could cause additional motion compared to the pCT. For this reason we looked at the weight, height and diameter of these children during radiotherapy and at final MRI follow-up and did not see large differences (maximum difference weight: 2.5 kg and maximum height difference: 4.0 cm). The maximum diameter changes of the last MRI at follow-up compared to the last CBCT during treatment were similar (MRI; LR: 5.7 mm and AP: 4.0 mm and CBCT; LR: 7.4 mm and AP: 6.9 mm), suggesting no significant growth. Thirdly, the assumption is made that the dose distribution does not change when using a slightly different patient anatomy. This allows comparison of the radiation dose to the pancreatic tail and spleen without recalculation. In a future prospective pilot study, radiation dose will be evaluated on daily MRIs.

In conclusion, for pediatric patients with a neuroblastoma in the upper abdominal region online adaptive MRI-guided radiotherapy may have the potential to reduce the dose to the pancreatic tail and/or spleen. The approach is most promising in left-sided target volumes adjacent to the pancreatic tail and spleen receiving a prescribed dose with limited exceedance of the dose constraints. A prospective investigation of MRI-guided radiotherapy is required to confirm whether the risk of diabetes mellitus or an indication for spleen prophylaxis is better discussed with parents before or after the end of radiotherapy.

Abbreviations

 MRgRT
 MRl-guided radiotherapy

 pCT
 Planning CT

 LR
 Left - Right

 AP
 Anterior - Posterior

CC	Cranial - Caudal
IQR	Interquartile range
OARs	Organs at risk
COG	Center of gravity

Authors' contributions

Study design: FvO, MWB, ES, PSK and GOJ. Data collection: FvO, GlQ and GOJ. Data analysis and interpretation: FvO, ES, PSK and GOJ. Writing of the manuscript: FvO, GlQ, ES, PSK and GOJ. Revision of the manuscript: All authors. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

None.

Data availability

The data used and generated in this work may be available under ethical and data protection considerations upon request to the leading institution on an individual basis.

Declarations

Ethical approval and Consent to participate

The retrospective analysis was approved by the local ethics committee (Institutional Review Board approval number: WAG/mb/500028).

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Conflict of interest

The authors report there are no competing interests to declare.

Received: 28 June 2023 / Accepted: 6 September 2023 Published online: 02 October 2023

References

- Taylor AJ, Winter DL, Pritchard-Jones K, Stiller CA, Frobisher C, Lancashire ER, et al. Second primary neoplasms in survivors of Wilms' tumour-A populationbased cohort study from the british Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Int J Cancer. 2008;122:2085–93. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.23333.
- Van Dijk IWEM, Oldenburger F, Cardous-Ubbink MC, Geenen MM, Heinen RC, De Kraker J, et al. Evaluation of late adverse events in long-term Wilms' tumor survivors. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2010;78:370–8. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.08.016.
- Ducassou A, Gambart M, Munzer C, Padovani L, Carrie C, Haas-Kogan D, et al. Long-term side effects of radiotherapy for pediatric localized neuroblastoma. Strahlentherapie Und Onkol. 2015;191:604–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. prro.2022.05.007.
- Vatanen A, Sarkola T, Ojala TH, Turanlahti M, Jahnukainen T, Saarinen-Pihkala UM, et al. Radiotherapy-related arterial intima thickening and plaque formation in childhood cancer survivors detected with very-high resolution ultrasound during young adulthood. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2015;62:2000–6. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.25616.
- Madenci AL, Fisher S, Diller LR, Goldsby RE, Leisenring WM, Oeffinger KC, et al. Intestinal obstruction in survivors of Childhood Cancer: a Report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol. 2015;33:2893–900. https://doi. org/10.1200/JCO.2015.61.5070.
- Weil BR, Madenci AL, Liu Q, Howell RM, Gibson TM, Yasui Y, et al. Late infection-related mortality in Asplenic Survivors of Childhood Cancer: a Report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:1571–8. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2017.76.1643.
- Green DM, Wang M, Krasin M, Srivastava D, Onder S, Jay DW, et al. Kidney function after treatment for Childhood Cancer: a report from the St. Jude Lifetime Cohort Study. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2021;32:983–93. https://doi. org/10.1681/ASN.2020060849.
- Weil BR, Murphy AJ, Liu Q, Howell RM, Smith SA, Weldon CB, et al. Late Health Outcomes among Survivors of Wilms Tumor diagnosed over three decades: a Report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol. 2023. https:// doi.org/10.1200/JCO.22.02111.

- Sutton EJ, Tong RT, Gillis AM, Henning TD, Weinberg VA, Boddington S, et al. Decreased aortic growth and middle aortic syndrome in patients with neuroblastoma after radiation therapy. Pediatr Radiol. 2009;39:1194–202. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00247-009-1351-1.
- Hoeben BA, Carrie C, Timmermann B, Mandeville HC, Gandola L, Dieckmann K, et al. Management of vertebral radiotherapy dose in paediatric patients with cancer: consensus recommendations from the SIOPE radiotherapy working group. Lancet Oncol. 2019;20:e155–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1470-2045(19)30034-8.
- de Vathaire F, El-Fayech C, Ben Ayed FF, Haddy N, Guibout C, Winter D, et al. Radiation dose to the pancreas and risk of diabetes mellitus in childhood cancer survivors: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Oncol. 2012;13:1002– 10. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(12)70323-6.
- van Waas M, Neggers SJCMM, Raat H, van Rij CM, Pieters R, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Abdominal radiotherapy: a major determinant of metabolic syndrome in Nephroblastoma and Neuroblastoma Survivors. PLoS ONE. 2012;7. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0052237.
- Friedman DN, Moskowitz CS, Hilden P, Howell RM, Weathers RE, Smith SA, et al. Radiation Dose and volume to the Pancreas and subsequent risk of diabetes Mellitus: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. JNCI J Natl Cancer Inst. 2020;112:525–32. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djz152.
- Arunagiri N, Kelly SM, Dunlea C, Dixon O, Cantwell J, Bhudia P, et al. The spleen as an organ at risk in paediatric radiotherapy: a SIOP-Europe Radiation Oncology Working Group report. Eur J Cancer. 2021;143:1–10. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ejca.2020.10.025.
- Mul J, Seravalli E, Bosman ME, van de Ven CP, Littooij AS, van Grotel M, et al. Estimated clinical benefit of combining highly conformal target volumes with volumetric-modulated Arc Therapy (VMAT) versus conventional flank irradiation in pediatric renal tumors. Clin Transl Radiat Oncol. 2021;29:20–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctro.2021.04.007.
- Lim-Reinders S, Keller BM, Al-Ward S, Sahgal A, Kim A. Online Adaptive Radiation Therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2017;99:994–1003. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2017.04.023.
- Kontaxis C, Bol GH, Kerkmeijer LGW, Lagendijk JJW, Raaymakers BW. Fast online replanning for interfraction rotation correction in prostate radiotherapy. Med Phys. 2017;44:5034–42. https://doi.org/10.1002/mp.12467.
- Winkel D, Kroon PS, Werensteijn-Honingh AM, Bol GH, Raaymakers BW, Jürgenliemk-Schulz IM. Simulated dosimetric impact of online replanning for stereotactic body radiation therapy of lymph node oligometastases on the 1.5T MR-linac. Acta Oncol (Madr). 2018;57:1705–12. https://doi.org/10.1080/0 284186X.2018.1512152.
- van Herk M, McWilliam A, Dubec M, Faivre-Finn C, Choudhury A. Magnetic resonance imaging–guided Radiation Therapy: a short Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats Analysis. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2018;101:1057–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2017.11.009.
- Seravalli E, Kroon PS, Buatti JM, Hall MD, Mandeville HC, Marcus KJ, et al. The potential role of MR-guided adaptive radiotherapy in pediatric oncology: results from a SIOPE-COG survey. Clin Transl Radiat Oncol. 2021;29:71–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctro.2021.05.008.
- Guerreiro F, Seravalli E, Janssens GO, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Lagendijk JJW, Raaymakers BW. Potential benefit of MRI-guided IMRT for flank irradiation in pediatric patients with Wilms' tumor. Acta Oncol (Madr). 2019;58:243– 50. https://doi.org/10.1080/0284186X.2018.1537507.
- Wolthaus JWH, Sonke J-J, van Herk M, Damen EMF. Reconstruction of a time-averaged midposition CT scan for radiotherapy planning of lung cancer patients using deformable registrationa). Med Phys. 2008;35:3998–4011. https://doi.org/10.1118/1.2966347.
- Meacham LR, Sklar CA, Li S, Liu Q, Gimpel N, Yasui Y, et al. Diabetes Mellitus in Long-term Survivors of Childhood Cancer. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169:1381. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2009.209.
- 24. Guerreiro F, Seravalli E, Janssens GO, van de Ven CP, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Raaymakers BW. Intra- and inter-fraction uncertainties during IGRT for Wilms' tumor. Acta Oncol (Madr). 2018;57:941–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/028 4186X.2018.1438655.
- Huijskens SC, van Dijk IWEM, Visser J, Balgobind BV, te Lindert D, Rasch CRN, et al. Abdominal organ position variation in children during imageguided radiotherapy. Radiat Oncol. 2018;13:173. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13014-018-1108-9.
- Meijer KM, van Dijk IWEM, Huijskens SC, Daams JG, Balgobind BV, Bel A. Pediatric radiotherapy for thoracic and abdominal targets: Organ motion, reported margin sizes, and delineation variations – A systematic

review. Radiother Oncol. 2022;173:134–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. radonc.2022.05.021.

- Jong R, De, Visser J, Wieringen N, Van, Wiersma J, Geijsen D, Bel A. Feasibility of Conebeam CT – based online adaptive radiotherapy for neoadjuvant treatment of rectal cancer. Radiat Oncol 2021:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13014-021-01866-7.
- Byrne M, Archibald-Heeren B, Hu Y, Teh A, Beserminji R, Cai E, et al. Varian ethos online adaptive radiotherapy for prostate cancer: early results of contouring accuracy, treatment plan quality, and treatment time. J Appl Clin Med Phys. 2022;23. https://doi.org/10.1002/acm2.13479.
- Chandy E, Taylor H, Gaito S, Wells E, Jones C, Meehan C, et al. Hypofractionated stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for recurrent or oligometastatic tumours in children and young adults. Clin Oncol. 2020;32:316–26. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.clon.2019.11.005.
- Hwang E, Gaito S, France A, Crellin AM, Thwaites DI, Ahern V, et al. Outcomes of patients treated in the UK Proton Overseas Programme: non-central nervous System Group. Clin Oncol. 2023;35:292–300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. clon.2023.02.009.
- 31. Hill-Kayser C, Tochner Z, Both S, Lustig R, Reilly A, Balamuth N, et al. Proton versus photon radiation therapy for patients with high-risk neuroblastoma:

the need for a customized approach. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2013;60:1606–11. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.24606.

- Lim PS, Rompokos V, Bizzocchi N, Gillies C, Gosling A, Royle G, et al. Pencil Beam scanning Proton Therapy Case Selection for Paediatric Abdominal Neuroblastoma: Effects of Tumour Location and Bowel Gas. Clin Oncol. 2021;33:e132–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clon.2020.08.012.
- Friedman DN, Goodman PJ, Leisenring WM, Diller LR, Cohn SL, Howell RM, et al. Long-term morbidity and mortality among survivors of Neuroblastoma Diagnosed during Infancy: a Report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.22.01732.
- Westerveld ASR, van Dalen EC, Asogwa OA, Koopman MMW, Papadakis V, Laureys G, et al. Neuroblastoma survivors at risk for developing subsequent neoplasms: a systematic review. Cancer Treat Rev. 2022;104:102355. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2022.102355.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.